### : .. TENT COOPERATION TREF...Y

	From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU
PCT	То:
NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION  (PCT Rule 61.2)  Date of mailing (day/month/year) 28 August 2000 (28.08.00)	Assistant Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office Box PCT Washington, D.C.20231 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE  in its capacity as elected Office
International application No. PCT/US99/10227	Applicant's or agent's file reference RCA 89038
International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
11 May 1999 (11.05.99)	16 May 1998 (16.05.98)
Applicant	
STEWART, Roger, Green et al	
1. The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made.    X   In the demand filed with the International Preliminary   O9 November	r Examining Authority on:  1999 (09.11.99)  reational Bureau on:

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Claudio Borton

Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38

Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35



#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER see Notification of Transmittal of International Search Report (Form PCT/ISA/220) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.		
RCA 89038	ACTION		
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US 99/10227	11/05/1999	16/05/1998	
Applicant			
THOMSON MULTIMEDIA S.A. e	t al.		
This International Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Searching Auth Insmitted to the International Bureau.	ority and is transmitted to the applicant	
This International Search Report consists  X It is also accompanied by	of a total of3 sheets. a copy of each prior art document cited in this	report.	
Basis of the report			
	international search was carried out on the bas ess otherwise indicated under this item.	sis of the international application in the	
the international search w Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).	as carried out on the basis of a translation of the	ne international application furnished to this	
was carried out on the basis of the		ternational application, the international search	
filed together with the inte	rnational application in computer readable form	ո.	
	this Authority in written form.		
	this Authority in computer readble form.  sequently furnished written sequence listing de	one not go howard the displacure in the	
	s filed has been furnished.	bes not go beyond the disclosure in the	
the statement that the info furnished	ormation recorded in computer readable form is	s identical to the written sequence listing has been	
2. Certain claims were fou	nd unsearchable (See Box I).		
3. Unity of invention is lac	king (see Box II).		
4. With regard to the title,			
the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant.		
	hed by this Authority to read as follows:		
A BUS ARRANGEMENT FOR	A DRIVER OF A MATRIX DISPLA	AY	
5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as su the text has been establis within one month from the	bmitted by the applicant. hed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authorit date of mailing of this international search rep	ty as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, ort, submit comments to this Authority.	
6. The figure of the drawings to be publ		3	
as suggested by the appli		None of the figures.	
because the applicant fail because this figure better	ed to suggest a figure. characterizes the invention.		

International application No.

PCT/US 99/10227

Box III TEXT OF THE ABSTRACT (Continuation of item 5 of the first sheet)

The abstract is modifi	ed as follows:
in the whole text the and the word "busses"	word "buss" must be "bus" . must be "buses".
·	

### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

ernational Application No

		<del></del>				
A. CLASSI IPC 6	IPC 6 G09G3/36					
	o International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification	ation and IPC				
	SEARCHED		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
IPC 6	ocumentation searched (classification system followed by classification ${\sf G09G}$	on symbols)				
Documentat	tion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that s	uch documents are included in the fields se	arched			
Electronic d	ata base consulted during the international search (name of data bas	se and, where practical, search terms used)				
Electronic a		,				
C. DOCUMI	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT  Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the rele	event nassages	Relevant to claim No.			
Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, or the re-	evant passages	Tielevani to daim ivo.			
Α	US 5 113 181 A (INOUE HIROSHI ET 12 May 1992 (1992-05-12) column 5, line 30 - line 37; figu					
	2,6,16 column 6, line 50 -column 7, line					
А	EP 0 837 446 A (CANON KK) 22 April 1998 (1998-04-22) figure 16					
A	US 5 170 158 A (SHINYA MASAKO) 8 December 1992 (1992-12-08) figures 10,15					
	<del></del>					
Furti	her documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	χ Patent family members are listed in	n annex.			
	ategories of cited documents :					
"A" docume	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the					
	dered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international date	invention "X" document of particular relevance; the cl				
"L" docume which	"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone which is cited to establish the publication date of another "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention					
"O" docum	citation or other special reason (as specified)  "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled					
	ent published prior to the international filing date but han the priority date claimed	in the art. "&" document member of the same patent f	amily			
Date of the	actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international sea	rch report			
1	1 January 2000	18/01/2000				
Name and r	mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2	Authorized officer				
	NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fav. (+31-70) 240-2016 Amian. D					

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

nation on patent family members

ernational Application No PCT/US 99/10227

Patent document cited in search repor	t	Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date	
US 5113181	A	12-05-1992	JP JP DE DE EP ES JP JP	6068673 B 62198898 A 3750855 D 3750855 T 0238867 A 2064306 T 2715298 B 62275296 A	31-08-1994 02-09-1987 26-01-1995 24-05-1995 30-09-1987 01-02-1995 18-02-1998 30-11-1987	
EP 0837446	Α	22-04-1998	JP	10177371 A	30-06-1998	
US 5170158	Α	08-12-1992	JP JP	2862592 B 3121415 A	03-03-1999 23-05-1991	

REC'D 13 SEP 2000

WIPO

PCT

## PCT

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference			FOR FURTHER AC	See Notific	ation of Transmittal of International / Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
RCA 89038					
International	• •		International filing date (da	ay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/US99			11/05/1999		16/05/1998
International G09G3/36		Classification (IPC) or nat	tional classification and IPC		
Applicant					
THOMSO	N MU	LTIMEDIA S.A. et al.			
1. This in and is	ternati transn	onal preliminary exami nitted to the applicant a	nation report has been paccording to Article 36.	orepared by this Into	ernational Preliminary Examining Authority
2. This R	EPOR	T consists of a total of	9 sheets, including this	cover sheet.	
be (se	en am ee Rul	ended and are the bas	sis for this report and/or s 07 of the Administrative	sheets containing r	on, claims and/or drawings which have ectifications made before this Authority he PCT).
111000	<u></u>				
3. This re		Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of c Lack of unity of inventic Reasoned statement u	on	velty, inventive step	o and industrial applicability ventive step or industrial applicability;
l vi		Certain documents cit			
VII			nternational application		
VIII			n the international applic	cation	
Date of sub		of the demand		Date of completion of	of this report
Alama and	ili	address of the internation	al	Authorized officer	(OGA)
	examin Europ D-802 Tel. +	address of the internation ing authority: pean Patent Office 298 Munich 49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52365		Wolfrum, G	20 2200 2200

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US99/10227

#### I. Basis of the report

 This report has been drawn on the basis of (substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.):

Description, pages:

	Des	cription, pages:	
	1-8		as originally filed
	Clai	ms, No.:	
	1-9		as originally filed
	Dra	wings, sheets:	
	1/3-3/3		as originally filed
2.	The	amendments hav	ve resulted in the cancellation of:
		the description,	pages:
		the claims,	Nos.:
		the drawings,	sheets:
3.		This report has be considered to go	een established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):

4. Additional observations, if necessary:

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US99/10227

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes:

Claims

No:

Claims 1-9

Inventive step (IS)

Yes:

Claims

No:

Claims 1-9

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: No: Claims 1-9 Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

- 1 Reference is made to the following documents:
  - D1: US-A-5 170 158 (SHINYA MASAKO) 8 December 1992 (1992-12-08)
  - D2: US-A-5 113 181 (INOUE HIROSHI ET AL) 12 May 1992 (1992-05-12)
- 2 Re Item VIII: Certain observations according to Article 6 PCT
  - 2.1 Claim 1 and some of its dependent claims do not satisfy the clarity requirements of Article 6 PCT.
    - 2.1.1 The term "first bus" [line 9] is introduced. This term implies that a second bus exists. Since such a bus is never introduced, it is unclear whether or not a "second bus" forms part of the device.
    - 2.1.2 Claim 1 introduces semiconductor switches each having "a first terminal" [lines 7-8] and, furthermore, "a first plurality of terminals" [line 9]. In view of the claim it appears that these terminals are separate items. This is obscure since the description states that signals are communicated between these terminals [description, page 2, lines 18-19].
    - 2.1.3 Moreover, for similar reasons, it is unclear where a "second plurality of terminals" [line 13] is located and whether or not they are connected to the second terminals of the switches.
    - 2.1.4 It is unclear whether the "first bus section" [line 13] or the "second plurality of terminals" [line 13] is "extending in a manner to cross over said first bus" [lines 14-15].
    - 2.1.5 The wording "cross over" [line 15] is only significant when it is clear that the arrangement has a two-dimensional, planar structure.
    - 2.1.6 The term "clustering bus arrangement" [line 17] is not further defined and, therefore, it does not impose a limitation.
    - 2.1.7 The relationships in lines 15-18 are unclear ["...extending from [...] coupled in [...] to [...] associated with..."].
    - 2.1.8 According to the present wording the "column conductors"
      [line 20], which are a part of the display device, also form part of the "arrangement".
    - 2.1.9 Claim 2: It is obscure how (passive) terminals may "develop"

- (active) signals. Furthermore, the demultiplexer is not defined by structural technical features but as a result to be achieved.
- 2.1.10 Claim 4: The terms "in a vicinity of" [line 11] and "remotely from" [line 12] are relative terms which have no pre-assigned, precise meaning. Moreover, the term "local clustering bus arrangement" [line 14] is not defined (cf. claim 1, point 2.1.6 above).
- 2.1.11 Claim 6: According to the present wording, the input terminals of the corresponding data line drivers form part of the "arrangement".
- 2.2 Claim 7 and 8 and its dependent claim do not satisfy the clarity requirements of Article 6 PCT.
  - Claims 7-9 use terms that are different from the terms used in claims 1-6. For example, the device of claim 7 comprises "clusters of data buses" and "a control bus" whereas the device of claim 1 comprises "a plurality of local buses" and "a first bus". Moreover, it appears that "a cluster of data buses" in claim 7 is equal to "a data bus" in claim 8. This is an inconsistent wording. Similar inconsistencies are noted for the terms used for the terminals of the switches.
  - 2.2.2 In **claim 7** it is unclear whether the "cluster of data buses" or the "data buses" [lines 3-4] itself have ordinally numbered conductors and to which numbering lines 6 to 7 refer.
  - 2.2.3 Claim 7-8: According to the present wording, the "successive data lines on said display panel" [claim 7, line 2; claim 8, line 20] form part of the demultiplexer.
  - 2.2.4 Claim 7-8: For the wording "crossover" [claim 7, line 9; claim 8, line 30] compare point 2.1.5 above.
- 3 Re Item V: Reasoned statement under Article 33 PCT
  - 3.1 As far as **claim 1** could be understood (cf. item VIII), it would appear that its subject-matter is not novel within the meaning of Article 33(2) PCT.
    - D1 discloses an arrangement for transferring pixel information with respect to pixels arranged in columns and rows of an array of a display device

[col. 1, lines 5-19], comprising:

a plurality of semiconductor switches, each having a first terminal, a second terminal and a third terminal [fig. 18, "S/H" = sample-and-hold circuit; a sample-and-hold circuit is a special type of switch; see also the note below];

a first bus [fig. 18, the wires "SCK1...5" extending from the "TIMING GENERATOR"] coupled to a first plurality of terminals [fig. 18, right input terminals of "S/Hs"] for communicating corresponding signals;

a plurality of local buses [fig. 18, the buses extending in groups of five wires from the left input of the "S/Hs" to the "DACs"] that are separated from one another for communicating corresponding signals, a given local bus having a first bus section coupled to a second plurality of terminals associated with said given local bus [fig. 18, the section extending from the "DACs"] and extending in a manner to cross over said first bus [fig. 18, over the "SCK1...5"] and a second bus section extending from said first bus section and having conductors thereof coupled in a local, clustering bus arrangement [fig. 18, the section after crossing the "SCK1...5" ending in the left input of the "S/Hs"] to the second terminals of switches associated with said given local bus of said plurality of switches, the associated switches having the third terminals thereof coupled to consecutively disposed column conductors [fig. 18,"O0...99"], respectively, of said array.

Note: It is implicitly disclosed that semiconductors are involved. A skilled person identifies the term "integrated circuit (IC)" [D1, col. 1, lines 56-58] with standard microelectronic components made out of a semiconductor material as e.g. silicium.

Thus, the subject-matter of claim 1 is not novel.

- The subject-matter of the claims dependent on **claim 1** is not novel according to Article 33(2) PCT or not inventive according to Article 33(3) PCT.
  - 4.1 Claim 2: D1 discloses a "TIMING GENERATOR" providing switch control signals and "DACs" providing picture information signals to the sample-and-hold circuits [fig. 18]. Since each of the 20 outputs of the "DACs" is coupled

systematically to five sample-and-hold circuits, a 1-of-5 demultiplexing is achieved under the control of the "TIMING GENERATOR". Thus, the subject-matter of **claim 2** is not novel.

- 4.2 Claim 3: Figure 18 of D1 shows five "sub-groups of switches being coupled in common to the corresponding conductor of said first bus", i.e. each subgroup is coupled to the same wire "SCK" of the "TIMING GENERATOR".

  Thus, the subject-matter of claim 3 is not novel.
- 4.3 Claim 4: D1 discloses in figure 18 that the conductors of said second bus section of said given local bus are disposed in a vicinity of said switches [fig. 18, shortest possible connection] associated with said given bus and remotely from switches [fig. 18, maximum possible distance in the applied linear arrangement] associated with the other local buses of said plurality of local buses to provide bus separation [fig. 18, separation is achieved] for obtaining the local clustering bus arrangement. Thus, the subject-matter of claim 4 is not novel.
- 4.4 Claim 5: D1 discloses in figure 18 that the conductors of said first bus [wires "SCK1...5" from the "TIMING GENERATOR"] extent along said plurality of semiconductor switches. Thus, the subject-matter of claim 5 is not novel.
- 4.5 Claim 6: D1 discloses data line drivers [fig. 18, controlled by the wire "OE" from the "TIMING GENERATOR"]. Thus, the subject-matter of claim 6 is not novel.
- As far as **claim 7** could be understood (cf. item VIII), it would appear that its subject-matter is not novel within the meaning of Article 33(2) PCT and not inventive within the meaning of Article 33(3) PCT.
  - 5.1 D2 discloses a signal demultiplexer [fig. 2, "n x m matrix wiring circuit connected to M signal lines (m < M) for the N x M active matrix", col. 3, lines 13-15; col. 4, lines 2-8] for a display panel [fig. 1], comprising: a plurality of clusters of switches [fig. 2, ref. 6; col. 3, lines 57-61; in D2 (cf. fig. 2) a cluster of switches forms part of the corresponding "BLOCK"],</p>

each cluster [="BLOCK"] having ordinally numbered switches 1 thru n arranged sequentially, and each switch having respective input, output and control terminals ["AS transistors", col. 3, line 61] with control terminals of all switches in each cluster connected to a common control terminal [fig. 2 and fig. 6, "g(1)" for "1st BLOCK", etc.; col. 3, line 63 to col. 4, line 12], and having respective output terminals coupled to successive data lines on said display panel [fig. 1 and fig. 18, "S(1)...S(M)"];

a plurality of clusters of data buses [fig. 2, "signal lines s(1)...s(m)" of the corresponding "BLOCK"], each cluster of data buses having ordinally numbered conductors 1 thru n, the ordinally numbered conductors of respective clusters of data buses being coupled to input terminals of corresponding ordinally numbered switches of a plurality of said clusters of switches [fig. 2];

a control bus including a plurality of conductors, said control bus arranged to crossover [fig. 6; col. 3, lines 24-26; col 5, lines 30-37] said plurality of clusters of data buses; and

connections between one of said plurality of conductors [fig. 2 and fig. 6, "g(1)...g(n)"] of said control bus and respective common control terminals of said clusters of switches.

Thus, the subject-matter of claim 7 is not novel.

- 5.2 Furthermore, it is noted that the subject-matter merely presents the wiring of a standard demultiplexer. Such a device is known to a person skilled in the art. The "crossover" of data lines and control lines is unavoidable in order to use the device. Therefore, arranging the "crossover" at the input or the output of the switches is merely a selection routinely performed by a skilled person. Consequently, without relating to any document of the prior art, the subject-matter is considered to be not inventive.
- As far as **claim 8** and its dependent **claim 9** could be understood (cf. item VIII), it would appear that its subject-matter is not novel within the meaning of Article 33(2) PCT and not inventive within the meaning of Article 33(3) PCT.

The subject-matter of claim 7 and claim 8 would appear the same since only the

wording is slightly different. Therefore, the same reasoning as for **claim 7** applies. Furthermore, since the feature of **claim 9** also forms part of the subject-matter of **claim 7**, the same reasoning as for **claim 7** applies to the subject-matter of **claim 9**.

- 7 Re Item VII: Certain defects in the international application
  - 7.1 The terms "buss" and "busses" are orthographically incorrect.
  - 7.2 The features of all the claims should be provided with reference signs to the figures placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
  - 7.3 The independent claims are not in the correct two-part form, with those features known in combination from the prior art (document **D1** respectively **D2**) being placed in the preamble and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b) PCT).
  - 7.4 According to Rule 5.1 (a)(ii) PCT, the description should acknowledge and cite the relevant prior art, specifically documents **D1** and **D2**.

From the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

TRIPOLI, Joseph S. THOMSON MULTIMEDIA LICENSING INC. P.O. Box 5312 Princeton, New Jersey 08543 **ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE** 

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT** (PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

11.09,2000

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

Applicant's or agent's file reference

**RCA 89038** PCT/US99/10227

international application No.

international filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

11/05/1999

16/05/1998

Applicant

THOMSON MULTIMEDIA S.A. et al.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, If any, Is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

#### 4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the International application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/

Authorized officer

Marnell, J

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Tel.+49 89 2399-2251



### PCT

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

					etion of Transmittal of International y Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No.				International filing date (day/mo	nth/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT	/US9	9/10	227	11/05/1999		16/05/1998
Interp	_ `		ent Classification (IPC) or na	ional classification and IPC		
Applic		N 1 1	IULTIMEDIA S.A. et al.			
INU	IVISC	41 <b>V</b> 1V	IDETIMEDIA S.A. et al.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			atlonal preliminary exami smitted to the applicant a		red by this Into	ernational Preliminary Examining Authority
2. T	This R	EPC	ORT consists of a total of	9 sheets, including this cove	r sheet.	
-	þe	en a	mended and are the bas		s containing re	on, claims and/or drawings which have extifications made before this Authority ne PCT).
T	hese	ann	exes consist of a total of	sheets.		·
э. т	'hls re	port	contains indications relat	ting to the following items:		
	1	X	Basis of the report			
	11		Priority			
	111		Non-establishment of or	ninton with regard to novelty,	inventive step	and industrial applicability
	IV		Lack of unity of inventio	n	·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
V A Reasoned statement under a			Reasoned statement un citations and explanatio	der Article 35(2) with regard to ns suporting such statement	o novelty, inve	entive step or industrial applicability;
	۷ì		Certain documents cite	d		
	VII 🛮 🖾 Certain defects in the int		Certain defects in the in	temational application		
`	VIII	8	Certain observations on	the International application		
Date of submission of the demand  Date of completion of this report				this report		
09/11	09/11/1999			11.09	.2000	
		xem]	address of the International ning authority:	Autho	rized officer	San Marian
	<u>)))</u>	D-80	pean Patent Office 298 Munich 49 89 2398 - 0 Tx: 523656	epmu d Wolf	rum, G	
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465				Telen	hone No -49 89	2309 2309

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (January 1994)



#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/US99/10227

#### I. Basis of the report

4.

1. This report has been drawn on the basis of (substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.):

			,
	De	scription, pages:	
	1-8	1	as originally filed
	Cla	ims, No.:	•
	1-9		as originally filed
	Dra	wings, sheets:	
	1/3-3/3		as originally filed
•	Th a		
۷.	ine	amenuments nave	e resulted in the cancellation of:
		the description,	pages:
		the claims,	Nos.:
		the drawings,	sheets:
3.		This report has be considered to go b	en established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):
	A	Manal above as a	
4.	MOG	itional observations	s, it necessary:

PAGE.04 +49 89 23994560 OCT 05 '00 09:06





### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US99/10227

- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: No:

Claims

Claims 1-9

Inventive step (IS)

Yes:

Claims

No:

Claims 1-9

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: No; Claims 1-9 Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

#### VIII. Certain observations on the International application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

#### 1 Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-5 170 158 (SHINYA MASAKO) 8 December 1992 (1992-12-08)
D2: US-A-5 113 181 (INOUE HIROSHI ET AL) 12 May 1992 (1992-05-12)

#### 2 Re Item VIII: Certain observations according to Article 6 PCT

- 2.1 Claim 1 and some of its dependent claims do not satisfy the clarity requirements of Article 6 PCT.
  - 2.1.1 The term "first bus" [line 9] is introduced. This term implies that a second bus exists. Since such a bus is never introduced, it is unclear whether or not a "second bus" forms part of the device.
  - 2.1.2 Claim 1 introduces semiconductor switches each having "a first terminal" [lines 7-8] and, furthermore, "a first plurality of terminals" [line 9]. In view of the claim it appears that these terminals are separate items. This is obscure since the description states that signals are communicated between these terminals [description, page 2, lines 18-19].
  - 2.1.3 Moreover, for similar reasons, it is unclear where a "second plurality of terminals" [line 13] is located and whether or not they are connected to the second terminals of the switches.
  - 2.1.4 It is unclear whether the "first bus section" [line 13] or the "second plurality of terminals" [line 13] is "extending in a manner to cross over said first bus" [lines 14-15].
  - 2.1.5 The wording "cross over" [line 15] is only significant when it is clear that the arrangement has a two-dimensional, planar structure.
  - 2.1.6 The term "clustering bus arrangement" [line 17] is not further defined and, therefore, it does not impose a limitation.
  - 2.1.7 The relationships in lines 15-18 are unclear ["...extending from [...] coupled in [...] to [...] associated with..."].
  - 2.1.8 According to the present wording the "column conductors" [line 20], which are a part of the display device, also form part of the "arrangement".
  - 2.1.9 Claim 2: It is obscure how (passive) terminals may "develop"





# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/US99/10227 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

(active) signals. Furthermore, the demultiplexer is not defined by structural technical features but as a result to be achieved.

- 2.1.10 Claim 4: The terms "in a vicinity of" [line 11] and "remotely from" [line 12] are relative terms which have no pre-assigned, precise meaning. Moreover, the term "local clustering bus arrangement" [line 14] is not defined (cf. claim 1, point 2.1.6 above).
- 2.1.11 Claim 6: According to the present wording, the input terminals of the corresponding data line drivers form part of the "arrangement".
- 2.2 Claim 7 and 8 and its dependent claim do not satisfy the clarity requirements of Article 6 PCT.
  - Claims 7-9 use terms that are different from the terms used in claims 1-6. For example, the device of claim 7 comprises "clusters of data buses" and "a control bus" whereas the device of claim 1 comprises "a plurality of local buses" and "a first bus".
    Moreover, it appears that "a cluster of data buses" in claim 7 is equal to "a data bus" in claim 8. This is an inconsistent wording. Similar inconsistencies are noted for the terms used for the terminals of the switches.
  - 2.2.2 In **claim 7** it is unclear whether the "cluster of data buses" or the "data buses" [lines 3-4] itself have ordinally numbered conductors and to which numbering lines 6 to 7 refer.
  - 2.2.3 Claim 7-8: According to the present wording, the "successive data lines on said display panel" [claim 7, line 2; claim 8, line 20] form part of the demultiplexer.
  - 2.2.4 Claim 7-8: For the wording "crossover" [claim 7, line 9; claim 8, line 30] compare point 2.1.5 above.
- 3 Re Item V: Reasoned statement under Article 33 PCT
  - 3.1 As far as claim 1 could be understood (cf. item VIII), it would appear that its subject-matter is not novel within the meaning of Article 33(2) PCT.

D1 discloses an arrangement for transferring pixel information with respect to pixels arranged in columns and rows of an array of a display device



# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/US99/10227 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

[col. 1, lines 5-19], comprising:

a plurality of semiconductor switches, each having a first terminal, a second terminal and a third terminal [fig. 18, "S/H" = sample-and-hold circuit; a sample-and-hold circuit is a special type of switch; see also the note below];

a first bus [fig. 18, the wires "SCK1...5" extending from the "TIMING GENERATOR"] coupled to a first plurality of terminals [fig. 18, right input terminals of "S/Hs"] for communicating corresponding signals;

a plurality of local buses [fig. 18, the buses extending in groups of five wires from the left input of the "S/Hs" to the "DACs"] that are separated from one another for communicating corresponding signals, a given local bus having a first bus section coupled to a second plurality of terminals associated with said given local bus [fig. 18, the section extending from the "DACs"] and extending in a manner to cross over said first bus [fig. 18, over the "SCK1...5"] and a second bus section extending from said first bus section and having conductors thereof coupled in a local, clustering bus arrangement [fig. 18, the section after crossing the "SCK1...5" ending in the left input of the "S/Hs"] to the second terminals of switches associated with said given local bus of said plurality of switches, the associated switches having the third terminals thereof coupled to consecutively disposed column conductors [fig. 18, "O0...99"], respectively, of said array.

Note: It is implicitly disclosed that semiconductors are involved. A skilled person identifies the term "integrated circuit (IC)" [D1, col. 1, lines 56-58] with standard microelectronic components made out of a semiconductor material as e.g. silicium.

Thus, the subject-matter of claim 1 is not novel.

- The subject-matter of the claims dependent on **claim 1** is not novel according to Article 33(2) PCT or not inventive according to Article 33(3) PCT.
  - 4.1 Claim 2: D1 discloses a "TIMING GENERATOR" providing switch control signals and "DACs" providing picture information signals to the sample-and-hold circuits [fig. 18]. Since each of the 20 outputs of the "DACs" is coupled

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/US99/10227 **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

systematically to five sample-and-hold circuits, a 1-of-5 demultiplexing is achieved under the control of the "TIMING GENERATOR". Thus, the subject-matter of claim 2 is not novel.

- 4.2 Claim 3: Figure 18 of D1 shows five "sub-groups of switches being coupled in common to the corresponding conductor of said first bus", i.e. each subgroup is coupled to the same wire "SCK" of the "TIMING GENERATOR". Thus, the subject-matter of claim 3 is not novel.
- 4.3 Claim 4: D1 discloses in figure 18 that the conductors of said second bus section of said given local bus are disposed in a vicinity of said switches [fig. 18, shortest possible connection] associated with said given bus and remotely from switches [fig. 18, maximum possible distance in the applied linear arrangement] associated with the other local buses of said plurality of local buses to provide bus separation [fig. 18, separation is achieved] for obtaining the local clustering bus arrangement. Thus, the subject-matter of claim 4 is not novel.
- 4.4 Claim 5: D1 discloses in figure 18 that the conductors of said first bus [wires "SCK1...5" from the "TIMING GENERATOR"] extent along said plurality of semiconductor switches. Thus, the subject-matter of claim 5 is not novel.
- 4.5 Claim 6: D1 discloses data line drivers [fig. 18, controlled by the wire "OE" from the "TIMING GENERATOR"]. Thus, the subject-matter of claim 6 is not novel.
- As far as claim 7 could be understood (cf. item VIII), it would appear that its 5 subject-matter is not novel within the meaning of Article 33(2) PCT and not inventive within the meaning of Article 33(3) PCT.
  - 5.1 D2 discloses a signal demultiplexer [fig. 2, "n x m matrlx wiring circuit connected to M signal lines (m < M) for the N x M active matrix", col. 3, lines 13-15; col. 4, lines 2-8] for a display panel [fig. 1], comprising: a plurality of clusters of switches [fig. 2, ref. 6; col. 3, lines 57-61; in D2 (cf. fig. 2) a cluster of switches forms part of the corresponding "BLOCK"],

OCT 05 '00 09:07

Form PCT/Separate Sheet/409 (Sheet 4) (EPO-April 1997)





# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/US99/10227 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

each cluster [="BLOCK"] having ordinally numbered switches 1 thru n arranged sequentially, and each switch having respective input, output and control terminals ["AS transistors", col. 3, line 61] with control terminals of all switches in each cluster connected to a common control terminal [fig. 2 and fig. 6, "g(1)" for "1st BLOCK", etc.; col. 3, line 63 to col. 4, line 12], and having respective output terminals coupled to successive data lines on said display panel [fig. 1 and fig. 18, "S(1)...S(M)"];

a plurality of clusters of data buses [fig. 2, "signal lines s(1)...s(m)" of the corresponding "BLOCK"], each cluster of data buses having ordinally numbered conductors 1 thru n, the ordinally numbered conductors of respective clusters of data buses being coupled to input terminals of corresponding ordinally numbered switches of a plurality of said clusters of switches [fig. 2];

a control bus including a plurality of conductors, said control bus arranged to crossover [fig. 6; col. 3, lines 24-26; col 5, lines 30-37] said plurality of clusters of data buses; and

connections between one of said plurality of conductors [fig. 2 and fig. 6, "g(1)...g(n)"] of said control bus and respective common control terminals of said clusters of switches.

Thus, the subject-matter of claim 7 is not novel.

- 5.2 Furthermore, it is noted that the subject-matter merely presents the wiring of a standard demultiplexer. Such a device is known to a person skilled in the art. The "crossover" of data lines and control lines is unavoidable in order to use the device. Therefore, arranging the "crossover" at the input or the output of the switches is merely a selection routinely performed by a skilled person. Consequently, without relating to any document of the prior art, the subject-matter is considered to be not inventive.
- As far as **claim 8** and its dependent **claim 9** could be understood (cf. item VIII), it would appear that its subject-matter is not novel within the meaning of Article 33(2) PCT and not inventive within the meaning of Article 33(3) PCT.

The subject-matter of claim 7 and claim 8 would appear the same since only the

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/US99/10227 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

wording is slightly different. Therefore, the same reasoning as for claim 7 applies. Furthermore, since the feature of claim 9 also forms part of the subject-matter of claim 7, the same reasoning as for claim 7 applies to the subject-matter of claim 9.

- 7 Re Item VII: Certain defects in the international application
  - 7.1 The terms "buss" and "busses" are orthographically incorrect.
  - 7.2 The features of all the claims should be provided with reference signs to the figures placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
  - 7.3 The independent claims are not in the correct two-part form, with those features known in combination from the prior art (document **D1** respectively **D2**) being placed in the preamble and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b) PCT).
  - 7.4 According to Rule 5.1 (a)(ii) PCT, the description should acknowledge and cite the relevant prior art, specifically documents **D1** and **D2**.